

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/577,223	05/23/2000	John C. Tang	SUN-P4953-RSH	4212
22835 75	7590 06/18/2004		EXAMINER	
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP			ZHEN, LI B	
508 SECOND STREET SUITE 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DAVIS, CA 95616			2126	

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/577,223	TANG ET AL.	•
Examiner	Art Unit	
Li B. Zhen	2126	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which p condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely fi Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	laces the application in
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the fina event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the f ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIN 706.07(f).	înal rejection. AL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the file (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	The appropriate extension fee under nal Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the	
*2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see	NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c)	Ily reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fina	lly rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a sepa canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	rate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been consideration in condition for allowance because:	red but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	issues which were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:NoNE	
Claim(s) objected to:NoN/E	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-36</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the	Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>_</u> .
10. Other:	AN
BEST AVAILABLE COPY SUPERVISORY PATEN	T EXAMINER TER 2100

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The applicant's amendment to claims 1, 13 and 25 includes the new limitations "allowing a user of the local computer system to approve the command received from the remote user at run time" (claim 1, lines 19 - 20; claim 13, lines 21 - 22; claim 25, lines 21 - 22). These limitations were not recited in claims 1, 13 and 25 or its dependent claims and would require further consideration and search.